MERGER CONTROL

Nigeria

LEXOLOGY
Getting The Deal Through

Consulting editor
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

Merger Control

Consulting editors

Thomas Janssens

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

Quick reference guide enabling side-by-side comparison of local insights into legislation and regulators; scope of legislation; thresholds, triggers and approvals; notification and clearance timetable; substantive assessment; remedies and ancillary restraints; involvement of other parties or authorities; judicial review; enforcement record and reform proposals; and recent trends.

Generated 02 December 2022

Table of contents

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

Contributors

Nigeria

Fred Onuobia SAN
fred.onuobia@gelias.com
G Elias

Lisa V Onianwa
lisa.onianwa@gelias.com
G Elias

Ayodeji Adeyanju
ayodeji.adeyanju@gelias.com
G Elias

Kuburat Abubakar
kuburat.abubakar@gelias.com
G Elias

LEGISLATION AND JURISDICTION

Relevant legislation and regulators

What is the relevant legislation and who enforces it?

The Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act 2018 (the FCCPC Act) is the main merger control legislation applicable in Nigeria. Where the merger involves a public company, the Investment and Securities Act 2007 (ISA) will also apply.

In addition, there is sector-specific legislation that regulates merger transactions in certain industry sectors. This legislation complements the ISA, rather than supplanting it. The legislation includes:

- the Banks and other Financial Institutions Act 2020 (for banks);
- the Nigerian Communications Commission Act 2003 (for telecommunications companies);
- the Insurance Act 2003 (for insurers and re-insurers);
- the Pension Reform Act 2014 (for pension fund administrators);
- the Petroleum Industry Act 2021 (for operators in the upstream petroleum industry); and
- the Electric Power Sector Reform Act 2005 (for operators in the electricity sector).

The Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC) is responsible for administering and enforcing the FCCPC Act and the regulations and guidelines thereunder. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) administers and enforces the ISA. Similarly, sector-specific legislation allows regulatory authorities to administer the respective legislation.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Scope of legislation

What kinds of mergers are caught?

Transactions that fall within the definition of a 'merger' and that meet the prescribed monetary threshold for notification will require prior notification to and the approval of the FCCPC. Under the FCCPC Act, a merger occurs when one or more undertakings directly or indirectly acquire or establish direct or indirect control over the whole or part of the business of another undertaking. A merger may be achieved through (1) a purchase or lease of shares, an interest in or assets of the other undertaking in question; (2) the amalgamation or other combination with the other undertaking in question; or (3) a joint venture.

The prescribed monetary threshold determines whether a merger will be categorised as small or large. A merger falling below the prescribed monetary threshold for notification is deemed a small merger and need not be brought to the attention of the FCCPC unless the FCCPC requires the parties to do so. Any merger whose value exceeds the prescribed threshold is a large merger and will require notification to and the prior approval of the FCCPC. A party to a small merger can, however, voluntarily notify the FCCPC at any time. The parties to a large merger can only implement the merger if it is approved by the FCCPC. In the absence of such approval, any action taken in respect of a large merger is void.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

What types of joint ventures are caught?

Joint ventures that satisfy the requirement of 'control' under the FCCPC Act will be caught. It also catches joint ventures that operate on a regular or lasting basis with all the functions of an autonomous economic entity. This type of joint venture, whose assets or turnover value is above the notification threshold, requires notification to and the approval of the FCCPC.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Is there a definition of 'control' and are minority and other interests less than control caught?

The FCCPC Act defines 'control' to include a situation where an undertaking has control over the business of another undertaking if it:

- beneficially owns more than one-half of the issued share capital or assets of the undertaking;
- is entitled to cast a majority of the votes that may be cast at a general meeting of the undertaking;
- can appoint or veto the appointment of a majority of the directors of the undertaking;
- is a holding company and the undertaking is its subsidiary as contemplated under the Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020 (as amended);
- can materially influence the policy of the undertaking in a manner comparable to a person who, in ordinary commercial practice, can exercise an element of control; and
- in the case of an undertaking that is a trust: (1) is entitled to control majority of the votes of the trustees; or (2) entitled to appoint majority of the trustees or change majority of the beneficiaries of the trust.

The FCCPC Act does not define 'material influence', and the term is also not defined by case law. The FCCPC carries out an assessment as to whether a party has material influence on another on a case-by-case basis, examining, among other things, the overall relationship between the acquirer and target and the acquirer's ability to materially influence policy relevant to the target's behaviour in the market. Some factors that raise a presumption of material influence include:

- acquisition of more than 25 per cent equity;
- existence of any special or preferential voting or veto rights associated with the shareholding under consideration;
- convertible loan arrangement or shareholder loan arrangement conferring influence over certain decisions;
- pre-emption rights on sale of shares or assets;
- board composition;
- status and expertise of acquirer and corresponding influence with other shareholders;
- provisions in the target's articles granting such influence over policy; and
- commercial agreement or arrangement between the parties granting influence over policy.

Internal arrangements, management representation or other interests that do not confer control do not come under the purview of transactions that require FCCPC approval. However, in practice, parties are advised to apply and obtain a negative clearance from the FCCPC before consummating transactions when they are in doubt as to whether the nature of the internal arrangement will require FCCPC approval.

'Control' as defined under the FCCPC Act does not include (1) control acquired by an office holder such as a receiver manager or a liquidator and (2) credit institutions or other financial institutions or insurance companies that in the ordinary course of business may hold (on a temporary basis) security that they have acquired in an undertaking with a view to reselling them.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Thresholds, triggers and approvals

What are the jurisdictional thresholds for notification and are there circumstances in which transactions falling below these thresholds may be investigated?

The prescribed threshold for merger notification is contained in the Merger Review (Amended) Regulations 2021 (the Threshold Notice). According to the Threshold Notice, the FCCPC must be notified of a merger if in the financial year preceding the merger: (1) the combined annual turnover of the acquiring undertaking and the target undertaking (combined figure) in, into or from Nigeria equals or exceeds 1 billion naira or (2) the annual turnover of the target undertaking in, into or from Nigeria equals or exceeds 500 million naira.

Transactions falling below the thresholds can be investigated by the FCCPC if the merger is likely to lessen competition in the market, or if the transaction is illegal or fraudulent. The FCCPC has the powers within six months of a small merger being implemented to require the parties to that merger to notify it of the merger. Within 20 business days (or extended period) of being notified, the FCCPC shall issue a report:

- approving the merger;
- approving the merger subject to conditions;
- prohibiting implementation of the merger, if it has not been implemented; or
- declaring the merger void.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Is the filing mandatory or voluntary? If mandatory, do any exceptions exist?

Notification to the FCCPC and the FCCPC's prior approval is mandatory for mergers that meet or exceed the threshold (large mergers). Such mergers cannot be consummated without prior notification to and approval of the FCCPC. Any action taken in respect of a large merger that is either not previously approved by the FCCPC or contrary to the provisions of the FCCPC is void.

Prior notification to the FCCPC is not mandatory for small mergers. The merger parties may, however, voluntarily notify the FCCPC of the merger. Also, the FCCPC may require parties to a small merger to notify the FCCPC of the merger if it is likely that the merger may substantially prevent or lessen competition.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Do foreign-to-foreign mergers have to be notified and is there a local effects or nexus test?

Parties to a foreign-to-foreign merger must notify the FCCPC where the foreign entity has a local nexus, such as a Nigerian subsidiary, and the foreign merger has met the turnover requirements for large mergers in Nigeria.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Are there also rules on foreign investment, special sectors or other relevant approvals?

The Nigerian Investment Promotion Commission Act 1995 (the NIPC Act) mandates the registration of foreign ownership of shares in a Nigerian company to be registered with the Nigerian Investment Promotion Commission established under the NIPC Act. The Foreign Exchange (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1995 regulates dealings in foreign exchange and the importation and repatriation of foreign capital invested in Nigerian businesses.

Where an undertaking in Nigeria is acquired by or comes under the control of a foreign undertaking, the acquisition will be subject to FCCPC review if it meets the notification thresholds and is likely to prevent or lessen competition in Nigeria.

If the merger relates to an entity or entities within a regulated industry, such as insurance, banking, telecommunications or electricity, additional approvals may be required from the relevant sector regulator.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

NOTIFICATION AND CLEARANCE TIMETABLE

Filing formalities

What are the deadlines for filing? Are there sanctions for not filing and are they applied in practice?

There is no deadline for filing and obtaining the approval of the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC) in respect of a notifiable merger, provided it is done prior to implementation of the merger. Failure to notify the FCCPC of a large merger is an offence and attracts a fine of up to 10 per cent of the turnover of the undertaking in the previous year. The FCCPC also has the power to impose an administrative penalty for non-compliance with the provisions of the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act 2018 (the FCCPC Act).The FCCPC Act is a relatively new piece of legislation. To our knowledge, the FCCPC has not publicly disclosed any sanctions it has imposed on merging parties for defaulting in notifying and obtaining FCCPC approval before consummating a large merger.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Which parties are responsible for filing and are filing fees required?

The FCCPC Act requires each party to a merger to file a FCCPC Form 1 (Notice of Merger). However, in practice, parties will file a joint application for FCCPC approval. In fact, the Notice of Merger requires parties to a proposed merger to jointly fill in Form 1. The filing fees payable are calculated based on the assessment and turnover of the parties or the purchase consideration (whichever is higher) and includes an application fee of 50,000 naira payable per merging entity.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

What are the waiting periods and does implementation of the transaction have to be suspended prior to clearance?

The FCCPC has 60 business days to consider and approve a proposed merger. It may extend the waiting period to 120 days by issuing an extension notice to the parties. If the FCCPC fails to communicate a decision on the proposed merger after 60 business days or after the extended period, the merger will be deemed approved. However, any approval obtained is still subject to the power of the FCCPC to revoke its own decision to approve a merger. For large mergers, parties are prohibited from consummating the merger or taking any action in respect of the merger pending approval or refusal of the merger by the FCCPC.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Pre-clearance closing

What are the possible sanctions involved in closing or integrating the activities of the merging businesses before clearance and are they applied in practice?

Regarding mergers that require prior notification to the FCCPC, the parties are prohibited from consummating or implementing the merger (even partly) without the prior approval of the FCCPC. The same sanctions for implementing a merger without approval are equally applied for non-notification of the merger to the FCCPC. The FCCPC has the power to invalidate mergers that have been partly or wholly consummated without approval. The FCCPC may also impose administrative fines. Consummating a merger without approval is an offence and attracts a fine of up to 10 per cent of the turnover of the undertaking in the previous year. There is no publicly available information illustrating the types of sanctions imposed by the FFCPC.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Are sanctions applied in cases involving closing before clearance in foreign-to-foreign mergers?

The FCCPC does not have the power to impose sanctions on foreign-to-foreign mergers, where:

1. the foreign entities do not have a local entity or subsidiary in Nigeria;
2. the turnover threshold requirements for prior notification and approval in Nigeria have not been met; and
3. the foreign merger does not affect the market by preventing or lessening competition in Nigeria.

Regarding point (2), to determine whether the threshold requirement is met, the foreign entity's turnover in foreign currency will be converted to naira at the prevailing official exchange rate as determined by the Central Bank of Nigeria for the corresponding period when the year ended.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

What solutions might be acceptable to permit closing before clearance in a foreign-to-foreign merger?

Parties to a notifiable foreign merger are not permitted to take any step to implement the merger prior to receiving FCCPC approval.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Public takeovers

Are there any special merger control rules applicable to public takeover bids?

There are no special merger control rules applicable to public takeover bids in Nigeria. The definition of 'merger' in the FCCPC Act is, however, wide enough to catch takeover bids. These mergers will require FCCPC approval if they meet or exceed the prescribed threshold.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has rules applicable to mandatory takeover bids involving public companies. Where a mandatory takeover bid is triggered, parties are required to file with the SEC to obtain (1) authorisation to proceed with the takeover and (2) approval of the bid document and its registration.

There are no special rules governing a voluntary takeover bid. Where one is made, the rules applicable to mandatory takeover bids should be followed.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Documentation

What is the level of detail required in the preparation of a filing, and are there sanctions for supplying wrong or missing information?

A party to a merger must provide information in the application form (Form 1) alongside all relevant supporting documents. The FCCPC requires full disclosure of all relevant information so that it can make an informed decision. Some of the information required in Form 1 includes:

- a non-confidential executive summary of the merger;
- details of the merging parties;
- the nature of the merger;
- the areas of activity of the merging parties;
- the markets on which the merger will have an impact;
- the strategic and economic rationale for the merger;
- a detailed description of the merger, ownership and control; and
- the annual turnover of the merging parties.

The supporting documents that must be filed alongside Form 1 include:

- copies of the final or most recent version of the merger documents;
- minutes of board of directors' and shareholders' meetings where the merger was discussed and approved;
- analysis, reports, studies, surveys, presentations and any comparable documents for the purpose of assessing the merger with respect to its rationale, market shares, competitive conditions, competitors, potential for sales growth or expansion into other product or geographic markets, and general market conditions; and
- analysis, reports, studies, surveys and any comparable documents from the past two years for the purpose of assessing any of the affected markets with respect to market shares, competitive conditions, competitors, or

potential for sales growth or expansion into other product or geographic markets.

The FCCPC can revoke its decision to approve or may conditionally approve a merger where the application was based on incorrect information supplied by the merging parties. The FCCPC can also prohibit the merger in its entirety.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Investigation phases and timetable

What are the typical steps and different phases of the investigation?

The approval procedure for a large merger has two phases. A Phase I review is conducted within 60 business days of the date of satisfactory notification. This review timeline can be extended by a further 30 business days where the merger raises initial competition concerns and parties propose acceptable remedies. Where this is the case, a Phase II review may not be required. For a Phase II review, the Phase I review period can be further extended by 60 business days where the undertakings propose acceptable remedies to the FCCPC.

It is advisable for merging parties to obtain informal guidance from the FCCPC prior to notification. In fact, the FCCPC advises merging parties to seek pre-merger consultation before filing the formal notification to the FCCPC. The pre-notification consultation may take place in person, by telephone, by video or other by digital means. Pre-merger consultation can be used in resolving questions such as:

- whether a merger is required to be notified;
- the calculation of the annual turnover;
- value of assets;
- market share; and
- the merger notification filing fees, and other matters.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

What is the statutory timetable for clearance? Can it be speeded up?

For small mergers, the Phase I review is usually concluded within 20 business days of the date of satisfactory notification. The timeline may be extended by 15 business days where initial competition concerns are raised.

The FCCPC has 60 business days to consider and approve a large merger and it may extend the waiting period to 120 days by issuing an extension notice to the parties. If the FCCPC fails to communicate a decision on the merger after 60 business days or after the extended period, the merger will be deemed approved, subject to the powers of the FCCPC to revoke it.

Merging parties may apply to the FCCPC for an expedited process that reduces the merger review period by up to 40 per cent. This process attracts an additional fee of 10 million naira.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

SUBSTANTIVE ASSESSMENT

Substantive test

What is the substantive test for clearance?

The substantive test for clearance in Nigeria has two limbs: lessening or preventing competition; and public interest. In approving a merger, the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC) will consider if the merger has the potential to substantially lessen or prevent competition, and if so, the FCCPC will determine whether the merger has an overriding public interest. Where the first limb applies, the FCCPC also considers whether the merger is likely to result in any technological efficiency or other pro-competitive gain that would not be obtained if the merger is prevented, the FCCPC may on the basis of public interest approve a merger.

In determining whether a merger or a proposed merger is likely to substantially prevent or lessen competition, the FCCPC typically assesses the strength of the competition in the relevant market. It also considers the probability that the undertakings in the market, after the merger, will behave competitively by taking into account a number of factors, including:

- the actual and potential level of import competition in the market;
- the ease of entry into the market, including tariff and regulatory barriers;
- the level and trends of concentration, and history of collusion on the market;
- the degree of countervailing power in the market;
- the dynamic characteristics of the market, including growth, innovation and product differentiation; and
- whether the business or part of the business of a party to the merger or proposed merger has failed or is likely to fail.

If the FCCPC cannot justify a merger on grounds of public interest, it will consider the effect that the proposed merger will have on:

- a particular industrial sector or region;
- employment;
- the ability of national industries to compete in international markets; and
- the ability of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) to become competitive.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Is there a special substantive test for joint ventures?

No. Where a joint venture results in a merger, the substantive test for clearance is the same as for any other merger.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Theories of harm

What are the ‘theories of harm’ that the authorities will investigate?

Theories of harm are the competition concerns that may arise as a result of a merger. The FCCPC analyses these theories based on the three types of mergers (horizontal, vertical and conglomerate mergers) and adopts a tailored approach to the particular nature of the merger. The basic theories of harm investigated by the FCCPC are divided into the following categories:

- Unilateral effects: these may arise in horizontal mergers, removing the competition between the firms and allowing the merged firm profitably to raise prices.
- Coordinated effects: these may arise in both horizontal and non-horizontal mergers. Here, the merger increases the ability for several firms within the market (including the merged firm) jointly to increase prices because it creates or strengthens the conditions under which they can coordinate.
- Vertical or conglomerate effects: these may arise in both horizontal and non-horizontal mergers. The merger creates or strengthens the ability of the merged firm to use its market power in at least one of the markets, thus reducing rivalry.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Non-competition issues

To what extent are non-competition issues relevant in the review process?

In determining whether a merger is likely to substantially prevent or lessen competition, non-competition issues are relevant in the merger review process. The FCCPC will consider certain public interest issues on four grounds:

- particular industrial sector or region (eg, energy). The FCCPC will consider the security of supply and stable provision of energy;
- the effect of the merger on employment;
- the ability of national industries to compete in the international market; and
- the ability of SMEs to become competitive – whether the merger affects certain factors that may have an impact on the ability of SMEs to compete.

In determining whether employment is a substantial public ground, the FCCPC will consider the overall nature of the transaction (among other things), including: the extent of overlap and duplication in the merging parties’ activities; the rationale of the transaction; the intention of the parties relating to employment and the target business; any plans to create further employment opportunities within the merged entity; and whether there is any planned retrenchment.

Where the ability of national industries to compete in international markets will result in significant economic benefits that flow back to the domestic economy (such as further investment in the domestic economy, job creation opportunities, the introduction of improved technologies and higher-quality products or services), the FCCPC is likely to consider these to be substantial public ground.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Economic efficiencies

To what extent does the authority take into account economic efficiencies in the review process?

In cases where the FCCPC finds that a merger will substantially lessen or prevent competition, it may still approve the merger on the basis of economic efficiency, gains, other pro-competitive advantages, or public interest gains. The FCCPC will investigate the following three categories of efficiencies in its review process:

- allocative efficiency: the degree to which goods and services within the economy are distributed according to consumer preferences;
- technical (productive) efficiency: the state where the optimal combination of inputs results in the maximum amount of output at minimal costs; and
- dynamic efficiency: the optimal introduction of new products and production processes over time.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

REMEDIES AND ANCILLARY RESTRAINTS

Regulatory powers

What powers do the authorities have to prohibit or otherwise interfere with a transaction?

The Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC) is empowered to approve (with or without conditions) or prohibit a merger pursuant to the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act 2018 (the FCCPC Act). The FCCPC is also empowered to revoke its decision approving or conditionally approving a merger, where the approval was based on an incorrect information provided by the merging parties.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Remedies and conditions

Is it possible to remedy competition issues, for example, by giving divestment undertakings or behavioural remedies?

Yes. Merging parties may propose remedies to the FCCPC at any time during the review process, including during the pre-notification consultation.

The following remedies are available to merging parties in the resolution of competition issues:

- structural remedies that involve a change in the market structure (commitment to divest assets);
- behavioural or non-structural remedies that are ongoing measures designed to modify, regulate or constrain the future conduct of merging parties (commitment with respect to certain contractual clauses); examples of behavioural remedies would be granting access to intellectual property rights, such as upgrades of technology or data, granting licences for data or brands or granting access to the merger parties' customers; and
- a hybrid of both structural and behavioural remedies.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

What are the basic conditions and timing issues applicable to a divestment or other remedy?

The FCCPC must determine the most appropriate remedies offered by the merging parties within the prescribed time frames. Merging parties are encouraged to engage with the FCCPC at the earliest opportunity by submitting a remedies proposal to the FCCPC. This can be done as early as during the pre-merger consultation. During Phase I, the FCCPC and the merging parties have an additional 15 business days for small mergers and 40 business days for large mergers for the merging parties to offer and the FCCPC to accept remedies.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

What is the track record of the authority in requiring remedies in foreign-to-foreign mergers?

The FCCPC takes the same approach to remedies for foreign-to-foreign mergers as it does with domestic mergers.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Ancillary restrictions

In what circumstances will the clearance decision cover related arrangements (ancillary restrictions)?

Generally, agreements among undertakings that have the likely effect of preventing, restricting or distorting competition in any market are unlawful, void and have no legal effect. The FCCPC may impose certain ancillary restrictions, such as the limitation of the scope of any restraint of trade by the merger parties. However, ancillary restrictions are not expressly provided under the law.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

INVOLVEMENT OF OTHER PARTIES OR AUTHORITIES

Third-party involvement and rights

Are customers and competitors involved in the review process and what rights do complainants have?

Yes, customers and competitors are typically contacted as part of the review process. During Phase I of the review process, the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC) typically sends out information requests to the merger parties' key suppliers, competitors and customers to seek their views on the merger and request that they provide information, such as estimated market shares, capacity, switching costs and potential entry or expansion. Where a Phase II review becomes necessary, the FCCPC will conduct an in-depth review and investigation with respect to the effects of the merger on competition by organising hearings with third parties, including issuing detailed questionnaires to key customers or competitors of the merging entities or industry experts, such as regulators and relevant public authorities.

Complainants may appear before the FCCPC to make submissions during an oral hearing, with or without the merging entities in attendance, to give their opinion on whether the merger would lessen competition.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Publicity and confidentiality

What publicity is given to the process and how do you protect commercial information, including business secrets, from disclosure?

The FCCPC must publish a non-confidential summary of key information about the transaction within five business days of the receipt of an application to notify and within two business days of a complete and satisfactory notification.

The publication of the notice will include an invitation to interested third parties to provide comments on the merger by providing a written submission to the FCCPC within three business days of the publication in the case of small mergers and seven business days of the publication in the case of large mergers.

Merging parties may protect commercial information, including business secrets, from disclosure at the point of notifying the FCCPC of the intended merger. Where a merging party is of the opinion that its interest could be harmed by publication or disclosure of any information, it can submit a separate document clearly marked as 'Business Secrets' with an explanation as to why it considers such information to be confidential.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Cross-border regulatory cooperation

Do the authorities cooperate with antitrust authorities in other jurisdictions?

The FCCPC cooperates, to some degree, with antitrust authorities in other jurisdictions. It is expected that merging parties will notify the FCCPC at the onset if the merger is being notified in any other jurisdictions and, if so, whether the merging parties are willing to offer a waiver to support coordination between the FCCPC and the competition authorities in those other jurisdictions.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Available avenues

What are the opportunities for appeal or judicial review?

If the merging parties are dissatisfied with the decision of the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC), they may appeal to the Competition and Consumer Protection Tribunal (the Tribunal) to review the decision of the FCCPC. Any merging party dissatisfied with the ruling, award or judgment of the Tribunal may appeal to the Court of Appeal.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Time frame

What is the usual time frame for appeal or judicial review?

An aggrieved merging party may appeal to the Tribunal within 30 business days of being notified of the FCCPC's

decision.

A dissatisfied merging party can further appeal the Tribunal's decision to the Court of Appeal by notice to the Tribunal's Registrar within 30 days of the date of the Tribunal's decision.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

ENFORCEMENT PRACTICE AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

Enforcement record

What is the recent enforcement record and what are the current enforcement concerns of the authorities?

The most recent record available is the 2020 Annual Report of the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC). From this report, the FCCPC:

- approved 47 mergers;
- underwent 22 enforcement operations for price gouging, some of which are currently before the courts in Nigeria, while others are under investigation;
- received approximately 15,709 complaints from customers and third parties against various companies in diverse sectors of the economy and was able to resolve approximately 12,332 of these complaints across all sectors of the economy;
- issued various press releases to help curb price gouging and possible violations of consumer rights in a bid to promote its activities, build its goodwill and implement various programmes that will serve both the FCCPC and the interest of members of the public; and
- inaugurated the Sustainable Consumption Task Force, among others.

In the first quarter of 2022, the FCCPC investigated the activities of shipping companies over the high cost of services provided by shippers. These investigations yielded results as significant declines in shipping costs were noticed by the end of the first quarter.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Reform proposals

Are there current proposals to change the legislation?

At the time of writing, there is no pending proposal before the Nigerian National Assembly to amend the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection 2018 Act or repeal it.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

What were the key cases, decisions, judgments and policy and legislative developments of the past year?

The competition regime and the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC) are still fairly new in Nigeria. Thus, there has not been significant new case law on merger control. Nonetheless, the FCCPC has reiterated its commitment through various press releases to help curb price gouging and possible violations of consumer rights.

The FCCPC introduced the Merger Review (Amended) Regulations 2021, which amended the computation of the processing fee for notifiable merger transactions. The FCCPC has also introduced the Investigative Cooperation/ Assistance Rules & Procedures 2021 by which undertakings or persons may cooperate and assist the FCCPC in investigations under the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act 2018 (FCCPA) Act. Immunity, waiver of prosecution, exercise of prosecutorial discretion or reduced penalties may arise from such cooperation and assistance.

The FCCPC has introduced further regulations in the past year including the Abuse of Dominance Regulations, 2022 to provide a regulatory framework for the implementation of Part IX of the FCCPA relating to abuse of dominance and other related matters. Another development is the Notice of Market Definition of 2021, which establishes the framework within which competition policy is applied by the FCCPC. Defining 'market' helps to identify the actual competitive constraints that a relevant undertaking is faced with. Further, the FCCPC recently released the Restrictive Agreement and Trade Practices Regulation 2022 for the provision of a regulatory framework for the implementation of the FCCPA in relation to restrictive agreements and related matters. This will impact the treatment of restrictive clauses in agreements and other restrictive agreements aimed at restricting, preventing or distorting competition or having a similar effect.

Law stated - 29 November 2022

Jurisdictions

Albania	Wolf Theiss
Australia	Allens
Austria	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Belgium	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Wolf Theiss
Brazil	TozziniFreire Advogados
Bulgaria	Boyanov & Co
Canada	McMillan LLP
China	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Colombia	Posse Herrera Ruiz
Costa Rica	Zurcher Odio & Raven
Croatia	Wolf Theiss
Cyprus	Antoniou McCollum & Co LLC
Czech Republic	Nedelka Kubáč advokáti
Denmark	Kromann Reumert
Ecuador	Bustamante Fabara
Egypt	Zulficar & Partners
European Union	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Faroe Islands	Kromann Reumert
Finland	Roschier, Attorneys Ltd
France	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Germany	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Ghana	Bentsi-Enchill Letsa & Ankomah
Greece	Vainanidis Economou & Associates
Greenland	Kromann Reumert

India	Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co
Indonesia	ABNR
Ireland	Matheson
Italy	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Japan	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Liechtenstein	Sele Frommelt & Partner Attorneys at Law
Malta	Camilleri Preziosi
Mexico	Castañeda y Asociados
Morocco	UGGC Avocats
Netherlands	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
New Zealand	Russell McVeagh
Nigeria	G Elias
Norway	Wikborg Rein
Pakistan	Axis Law Chambers
Peru	Payet Rey Cauvi Pérez Abogados
Poland	WKB Wiercinski Kwiecinski Baehr
Portugal	Gomez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados
Romania	Wolf Theiss
Saudi Arabia	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Serbia	Wolf Theiss
Singapore	Drew & Napier LLC
Slovakia	Wolf Theiss
Slovenia	Wolf Theiss
South Korea	Bae, Kim & Lee LLC
Spain	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

Switzerland	Lenz & Staehelin
Taiwan	Yangming Partners
Thailand	Weerawong, Chinnavat & Partners Ltd
Turkey	ELIG Gurkaynak Attorneys-at-Law
Ukraine	Asters
United Arab Emirates	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
United Kingdom	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
USA	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
Vietnam	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Zambia	Corpus Legal Practitioners