

# Public M&A 2020

Contributing editor  
Alan M Klein



**Publisher**

Tom Barnes  
tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

**Subscriptions**

Claire Bagnall  
claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

**Senior business development manager**

Adam Sargent  
adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

**Published by**

Law Business Research Ltd  
Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street  
London, EC4A 4HL, UK

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between May and June 2020. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2020  
No photocopying without a CLA licence.  
First published 2018  
Third edition  
ISBN 978-1-83862-390-6

Printed and distributed by  
Encompass Print Solutions  
Tel: 0844 2480 112



---

# Public M&A

## 2020

**Contributing editor****Alan M Klein****Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP**

---

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the third edition of *Public M&A*, which is available in print and online at [www.lexology.com/gtdt](http://www.lexology.com/gtdt).

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our coverage this year includes new chapters on Australia, Bulgaria, Greece, Israel, Nigeria and Sweden.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at [www.lexology.com/gtdt](http://www.lexology.com/gtdt).

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editor, Alan M Klein of Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, for his continued assistance with this volume.



London  
June 2020

---

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd  
This article was first published in July 2020  
For further information please contact [editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com](mailto:editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com)

# Contents

<b>Global overview</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Germany</b>	<b>69</b>
Alan M Klein Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP		Gerhard Wegen, Christian Cascante and Jochen Tyrolt Gleiss Lutz	
<b>Cross-border M&amp;A: the view from Canada</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>Ghana</b>	<b>78</b>
Ian Michael Bennett Jones LLP		Kimathi Kuenyehia, Valery Atuwu, Sarpong Odame and Kojo Amoako Kimathi & Partners Corporate Attorneys	
<b>Introduction to public M&amp;A in the United Kingdom</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>Greece</b>	<b>85</b>
Caroline Rae and Mark Bardell Herbert Smith Freehills		Catherine M Karatzas, Alexandra Th Kondyli and Eleana Rouga Karatzas & Partners Law Firm	
<b>Australia</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>India</b>	<b>90</b>
Simon Rear, Chris Rosario, Michael Van Der Ende and Connor McClymont Squire Patton Boggs		Rabindra Jhunjunwala and Bharat Anand Khaitan & Co	
<b>Bermuda</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>Ireland</b>	<b>97</b>
Stephanie Paiva Sanderson BeesMont Law Limited		Madeline McDonnell and Susan Carroll Chrysostomou Matheson	
<b>Brazil</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>Israel</b>	<b>109</b>
Enrique Tello Hadad, Richard Blanchet and Daniel Domenech Varga Loeser, Blanchet e Hadad Advogados		Michael Barnea, Zvi Gabbay and Ariella Dreyfuss Barnea Jaffa Lande	
<b>Bulgaria</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>Italy</b>	<b>114</b>
Ivo Alexandrov and Yonko Hristov Kambourov & Partners, Attorneys at Law		Fiorella Federica Alvino Nunziante Magrone	
<b>Canada</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>Japan</b>	<b>121</b>
Linda Misetich Dann, Brent W Kraus, John E Piasta, Ian C Michael, Chris D Simard and Beth Riley Bennett Jones LLP		Sho Awaya and Yushi Hegawa Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu	
<b>China</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>Luxembourg</b>	<b>129</b>
Caroline Berube and Ralf Ho HJM Asia Law & Co		Chantal Keereman and Frédéric Lemoine Bonn & Schmitt	
<b>Denmark</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>Mexico</b>	<b>135</b>
Thomas Weisbjerg, Julie Høj-Nielsen and Adam Kara Mazanti-Andersen Korsø Jensen Law Firm LLP		Julián J Garza C and Luciano Pérez G Nader Hayaux & Goebel	
<b>Egypt</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>Nigeria</b>	<b>140</b>
Omar S Bassiouny and Mariam Auda Matouk Bassiouny		Gbolahan Elias SAN, Yemisi Falade and Oluwatosin Omobitan G Elias & Co	
<b>France</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>North Macedonia</b>	<b>146</b>
Anya Hristova and Océane Vassard Bersay & Associates		Emilija Kelesoska Sholjakovska and Ljupco Cvetkovski Debartliev Dameski & Kelesoska	

<b>Norway</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>Taiwan</b>	<b>209</b>
Ole K Aabø-Evensen Aabø-Evensen & Co		Susan Lo and Yvonne Hsieh Lee and Li Attorneys at Law	
<b>Poland</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>Thailand</b>	<b>214</b>
Anna Nowodworska, Dariusz Harbaty and Joanna Wajdzik Wolf Theiss		Akeviboon Rungreungthanya and Pratumporn Somboonpoonpol Weerawong, Chinnavat & Partners Ltd	
<b>Qatar</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>Turkey</b>	<b>220</b>
Faisal Moubaydeen Dentons		Kerem Turunç and Noyan Turunç Turunç	
<b>Russia</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>United Kingdom</b>	<b>226</b>
Vasilisa Strizh, Philip Korotin, Valentina Semenikhina, Alexey Chertov, Dmitry Dmitriev and Valeria Gaikovich Morgan Lewis		Antonia Kirkby and Robert Moore Herbert Smith Freehills LLP	
<b>South Africa</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>United States</b>	<b>232</b>
Ezra Davids and Ian Kirkman Bowmans		Alan Klein Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	
<b>Sweden</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>Vietnam</b>	<b>238</b>
Carl-Johan Pousette and Marcus Tipner Advokatfirman Hammarskiöld		Nguyen Anh Tuan, Phong Le, Ha Thi Hai and Nguyen Thu Huyen Bizconsult LLC	
<b>Switzerland</b>	<b>200</b>		
Claude Lambert, Reto Heuberger and Andreas Müller Homburger			

# Nigeria

Gbolahan Elias SAN, Yemisi Falade and Oluwatosin Omobitan

G Elias & Co

## STRUCTURES AND APPLICABLE LAW

### Types of transaction

#### 1 | How may publicly listed businesses combine?

Publicly listed companies may combine in Nigeria through acquisitions or schemes. An acquisition may involve the acquisition of the assets of the business of another company or the acquisition of shares of another company, whether through transactions on the securities exchange where the relevant public company is listed or in one-on-one transactions. In Nigeria, schemes that involve court sanctions are also utilised to effect business combinations whether within one company or between or among respective companies involved in the business combination. These methods of business combinations are effected through the provisions of applicable law.

The combination structure to be adopted is largely dependent on time considerations, tax efficiency, confidentiality and the relevant regulatory or sector peculiarities.

The consideration for these transactions may be cash, securities or other assets made available by the parties to the transaction.

### Statutes and regulations

#### 2 | What are the main laws and regulations governing business combinations and acquisitions of publicly listed companies?

The main laws governing business combinations and acquisitions of publicly listed companies are:

- the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act 2018;
- the Investments and Securities Act 2007;
- the Companies and Allied Matters Act 1990;
- the Securities and Exchange Commission Rules 2013 as well as their various amendments;
- the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission Guidelines on Simplified Process for Foreign to Foreign Mergers with Nigerian Component 2019;
- the Nigerian Stock Exchange Rule Book 2015;
- the Companies Income Tax Act 1979 (as amended);
- the Capital Gains Tax Act 1967 (as amended);
- the Value Added Tax Act 1993 (as amended);
- the Companies Proceedings Rules 1992; and
- the Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 2019.

### Cross-border transactions

#### 3 | How are cross-border transactions structured? Do specific laws and regulations apply to cross-border transactions?

Cross-border transactions may be structured as direct acquisitions by foreign entities in Nigerian companies or indirect acquisitions in foreign targets that ultimately control or have stakes (whether significant or

not) in the Nigerian targets. The degree to which Nigerian legislation is applicable depends largely on the size of the stake acquired and the degree of control the stake will give the acquirer in the Nigerian target.

The Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act 2018 is the main legislation applicable to cross-border transactions. Further to this legislation, the Nigerian merger regulator, the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission, developed the Guidelines on Simplified Process for Foreign-to-Foreign Mergers with Nigerian Component in 2019. These guidelines prescribe the requirements for obtaining the approval of the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission where an acquisition or other form of business combination occurs in a foreign jurisdiction and changes the ultimate control of Nigerian entities. The applicability of the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act depends on whether the transaction will result in a change of control in the Nigerian target.

Under this legislation, an undertaking has control over another undertaking if it: (1) beneficially owns more than one-half of the issued share capital or assets of the undertaking; (2) is entitled to cast a majority of the votes that may be cast at a general meeting or has the ability to control a majority of the voting rights directly or indirectly; and (3) is a holding company, and the undertaking is a subsidiary as contemplated under the Companies and Allied Matters Act 1990. Further, control is established where an undertaking is able to appoint or veto the appointment of a majority of the directors of the undertaking or, in the case of a trust, has the ability to control the majority of the votes of the trustees, to appoint the majority of the trustees or to appoint or change the majority of the beneficiaries of the trust.

In addition to the main merger control legislation, there is also sector-specific legislation applicable to cross-border transactions depending on the relevant sector. This includes:

- the Insurance Act 2003;
- the Investments and Securities Act 2007 (as amended)
- the Communications Act 2003;
- the Pension Reform Act 2014;
- the Banks and Other Financial Institutions Act 1991 (as amended);
- the Electric Power Sector Reform Act 2005; and
- the Petroleum Act 1969.

In addition, there are regulations and guidelines made pursuant to the main legislation that are also applicable to cross-border transactions. Further, the rules governing the securities exchanges where the relevant target public companies are listed will also be applicable. There is also ancillary legislation that is applicable after the cross-border transaction has taken effect but should be considered before the transaction is effective or while it is being structured.

There is 'local content' legislation that requires registration of foreign ownership or restricts the level of foreign participation in Nigerian targets. The Nigerian Investment Promotion Act 1992 requires foreign ownership of shares in a Nigerian company to be registered

with the Nigerian Investment Promotion Commission. There are also statutes and regulations such as the Private Guard Companies Act 1986, Private Guard Companies Regulations 2018, the Civil Aviation Act 2006, the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority Regulations, the Coastal and Inland Shipping Act 2003, the Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry Content Development Act 2010 that all have requirements for companies and licensees in their relevant sectors to be controlled by Nigerians.

This legislation, and the relevant regulations and guidelines, must be considered when determining the quantum of foreign ownership to be acquired in a Nigerian enterprise. Also, there are prohibited businesses for both foreigners and Nigerians, such as the production of arms, ammunition, narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances, military and para-military wears, etc, as contained in sections 17(2) and 31 of the Nigerian Investment Promotion Commission Act 1992.

The Foreign Exchange (Monitoring and Miscellaneous) Act 1995 regulates the importation and repatriation of capital in Nigerian businesses by foreigners.

### Sector-specific rules

#### 4 | Are companies in specific industries subject to additional regulations and statutes?

Business combinations involving specific industries are subject to additional sector-specific legislation and regulations, including:

- the Nigerian Communications Act 2003 and the Nigerian Communications Commission Competition Practices Regulations 2007;
- the Electric Power Sector Reform Act 2005;
- the Banks and other Financial Institutions Act 1991 and the Central Bank of Nigeria Revised Procedures Manual for Processing Applications for Bank Mergers and Takeovers 2005;
- the Insurance Act 2003;
- the Department of Petroleum Resources Guidelines for obtaining Ministerial Consent 2014;
- the National Broadcasting Commission Act 1992 (as amended);
- the Pension Reform Act 2014; and
- the National Health Insurance Scheme Operational Guidelines 2012.

### Transaction agreements

#### 5 | Are transaction agreements typically concluded when publicly listed companies are acquired? What law typically governs the agreements?

The relevance of transaction agreements is determined by the business combination structure adopted by the parties. Where the parties elect to undertake a statutory scheme, there is no need for transactions agreements in the strict sense. The documents required are typical statutory forms and scheme documents, and documents related to court processes. The terms of a statutory scheme are set out in the scheme documents. The terms of the scheme are required to be approved by at least 75 per cent of the shareholders of the relevant company present at the court-ordered meeting convened for that scheme. In some cases, the parties may elect to enter into a transaction implementation agreement prior to the scheme being carried out. The transaction implementation agreement sets out implementation steps for the scheme and allows the parties to obtain representations and warranties from each other.

Where the transaction will trigger the mandatory takeover requirements of the Investments and Securities Act 2007, a bid document is the main transaction document. The bid document sets out the terms of the formal offer to the shareholders of the target company. As with a transaction implemented by a scheme, the transaction parties may also enter into a transaction implementation agreement or some other form

of memorandum of understanding that will set out the implementation steps for the transaction.

Other transactions that do not fall within the above categories may be implemented through a share purchase agreement, share subscription agreement or an asset purchase agreement.

Schemes and takeover bids are governed by Nigerian mandatory statutes and are therefore implemented under Nigerian law. In other instances, the choice of governing law is based on the agreement of the parties.

## FILINGS AND DISCLOSURE

### Filings and fees

#### 6 | Which government or stock exchange filings are necessary in connection with a business combination or acquisition of a public company? Are there stamp taxes or other government fees in connection with completing these transactions?

The relevant filings are usually determined by the transaction structure and whether or not a change of control will occur. Where a business combination will result in the change of control of the target, a filing is required to be made with the competition regulator, the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission, to obtain a merger control approval.

A business combination involving a public company and implemented by a scheme must be filed with the Federal High Court, which will sanction the transaction.

Where a mandatory takeover bid is triggered, a filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission is required to obtain (1) authorisation to proceed with the takeover bid and (2) approval of the bid document and its registration.

In addition to the foregoing, filings will also be required with (1) the securities exchange where the securities of the public company are listed and (2) the regulator of the public company (where it operates in a regulated sector). Post-transaction implementation filings will also be required at the Nigerian companies' registry – the Corporate Affairs Commission.

For all the filings stated above, the public company will be required to pay the relevant prescribed fees.

No stamp duty is payable on the instrument transferring shares. However, the Federal Inland Revenue Service charges ad valorem duties at 1 per cent of the purchase price on the relevant share sale or purchase agreement that governs the transfer of shares. Stamp duty is also chargeable at a similar rate in asset sale and share subscription agreements.

### Information to be disclosed

#### 7 | What information needs to be made public in a business combination or an acquisition of a public company? Does this depend on what type of structure is used?

The Nigerian Stock Exchange requires companies listed on it to immediately disclose information to the market that might reasonably be expected to have a material effect on market activity, the price or value of listed securities and the financial condition of the listed entity. M&A activity affecting a listed company directly or indirectly is considered to be a material occurrence that should be disclosed to the public. The breakdown of information to be disclosed is not prescribed. However, the listed company is required to provide as much detail as possible to the public. The listed company is also required to disclose any transaction that results in the beneficial ownership of 5 per cent or more of its shares no later than 10 business days after the transaction occurs and, subsequently, in its annual report.

Generally, the company has the transaction disclosure obligation to the Nigerian Stock Exchange, the Securities and Exchange Commission and the relevant regulator. However, the Securities and Exchange Commission requires the directors, officers, employees and holders of at least 5 per cent of the securities of a relevant company to notify the Securities and Exchange Commission of any sale of their shares in the company or purchase of additional shares in the company no later than 48 hours after the activity.

In respect of filings with the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission, specific information is required to be disclosed. The information to be disclosed to the authority includes:

- detailed information about product lines or operations of the relevant companies;
- a list of major competitors in that product market and the market position or market share of each company;
- the financing arrangement of the acquirer in an acquisition;
- revenue information of the relevant companies;
- the structure and organisation of the relevant companies;
- arrangements for employees;
- settlement arrangements for shareholders and treatment of dissenting shareholders;
- material claims and litigation affecting the relevant companies;
- material contracts affecting the relevant companies;
- interest of directors in the transaction;
- the method of valuation of the shares or assets to be acquired (as applicable);
- the details and price of the shares or assets to be acquired; and
- the contact information of an offeror (in a takeover bid).

The above disclosures to the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission are required to obtain approval for the transaction and do not qualify as disclosures to the public.

### Disclosure of substantial shareholdings

#### 8 | What are the disclosure requirements for owners of large shareholdings in a public company? Are the requirements affected if the company is a party to a business combination?

The Securities and Exchange Commission requires holders of 5 per cent or more of any class of securities of a public company to notify it upon the sale of their shares in the company or acquisition of additional shares of the company within 48 hours of the transaction.

Also, the Companies and Allied Matters Act 1990 requires holders (whether holding directly or through a nominee) of at least 10 per cent of more of the issued shares of a public company to notify the public company of its holding and its full particulars within 14 days of becoming a substantial shareholder and within 14 days of ceasing to be one.

The above disclosure requirements are not affected by the company's direct involvement as a party to the business combination. Companies separately have their disclosure obligations under the rules of the Nigerian Stock Exchange. Public companies are required to notify the Nigerian Stock Exchange upon the occurrence of a transaction that brings the beneficial ownership of the company's shares to 5 per cent or more no later than 10 business days after the transaction. Companies are also required to disclose details of shareholders holding 5 per cent or more of its shares in its annual report.

## DIRECTORS' AND SHAREHOLDERS' DUTIES AND RIGHTS

### Duties of directors and controlling shareholders

#### 9 | What duties do the directors or managers of a publicly traded company owe to the company's shareholders, creditors and other stakeholders in connection with a business combination or sale? Do controlling shareholders have similar duties?

Under the Companies and Allied Matters 1990, directors have the following duties to the company (not its shareholders generally) in respect of a business combination or sale:

- to act at all times in the best interest of the company as a faithful, diligent, careful and ordinarily skilful director would act in the circumstances;
- not to fetter his or her discretion to vote in a particular way;
- in connection with the transfer to any person of all or any of the shares in the company under an offer made to the shareholders of the company, to do all things reasonably necessary to secure that particulars with respect to the proposed payment and the amount are included in or sent with any notice of the offer dispatched to the shareholders;
- to notify the company of his or her interest in shares of the company, its holding company, subsidiary or affiliate (also applicable to officers of the company);
- to disclose any personal interest in a transaction and not misuse corporate information to his or her own benefit; and
- not to allow his or her personal interest conflict with any of his or her duties as a director.

The Investments and Securities Act 2007 also requires the directors of a company that is the target of a prospective takeover bid to send a circular to each shareholder of the public company and the Securities and Exchange Commission at least seven days before the takeover bid will take effect. The circular is required to state the recommendation of the board of directors to the shareholders of the offeree company in respect of the takeover bid. The circular is also required to contain particulars of any payment made to an officer or former officer of an offeree company by way of compensation for loss of his or her office or any office in connection with the management of the company's affairs or of any office with the management of any subsidiary of the company, or as consideration for or in connection with his or her retirement from any office.

Controlling shareholders do not have duties similar to those of directors or any fiduciary duties to the company generally. Controlling shareholders may, however, in limited cases, owe fiduciary duties to other shareholders, creditors or stakeholders of the company contractually.

### Approval and appraisal rights

#### 10 | What approval rights do shareholders have over business combinations or sales of a public company? Do shareholders have appraisal or similar rights in these transactions?

The approval rights of the shareholders depends primarily on the business combination structure adopted.

A business combination undertaken by a scheme requires the approval of 75 per cent of the shareholders of the relevant company present at the court-ordered meeting convened to approve the scheme.

A takeover offer differs slightly. The approval of the shareholders is obtained on a one-on-one basis through the acceptance by each shareholder of the offer in the takeover bid. Under the Investments and Securities Act 2007, to fully implement a 100 per cent takeover offer and acquire the shares of all the shareholders through a squeeze-out, the offeror must have received at least 90 per cent acceptance from the

shareholders to whom the offer was made. Upon receipt of 90 per cent acceptance, the offeror may notify the dissenting shareholders to either transfer their shares to the offeror on the terms in the takeover bid or demand payment of the fair value of shares held by the shareholders.

In structures other than schemes, the approval of the shareholders depends on the provisions of the articles of association of the relevant company. For instance, an asset sale transaction that will sell or acquire the assets of a business may require the approval of the shareholders of the public company.

## COMPLETING THE TRANSACTION

### Hostile transactions

#### 11 | What are the special considerations for unsolicited transactions for public companies?

Hostile transactions are currently not widely contemplated under Nigerian law. There are, however, mechanisms that can be undertaken by the management of a target company to resist a takeover bid. The directors may, through the circular they are required to issue to shareholders, provide recommendations that will discourage shareholders from accepting the takeover offer. Where the acceptance of the shareholders is below 90 per cent, the offeror will not be able to trigger the squeeze-out provisions of the Investments and Securities Act. Also, under Rule 445(3)(g) of the Rules and Regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, dissenting shareholders may, where they constitute 50 per cent of the shareholders of a company, state in writing that they would not accept a mandatory takeover bid.

### Break-up fees – frustration of additional bidders

#### 12 | Which types of break-up and reverse break-up fees are allowed? What are the limitations on a public company's ability to protect deals from third-party bidders?

Break-up fees or reverse break-up fees are currently not contemplated or regulated under Nigerian law for mandatory takeover bids. However, break-up fees may be negotiated between parties in a private share acquisition or asset acquisition transaction.

Where the transaction is structured as a share subscription and a break-up fee is payable under the transaction, the payment of the break-up fee by the target company may trigger the financial assistance rule. Under the Companies and Allied Matters Act, a company or any of its subsidiaries is prohibited from rendering financial assistance directly or indirectly to any person for the purpose of the acquisition of the shares of the company. Financial assistance is only prohibited where the net assets of the company are reduced materially or completely by this assistance. Financial assistance could be in the form of a gift, guarantee, security or indemnity, loan or any form of credit.

### Government influence

#### 13 | Other than through relevant competition regulations, or in specific industries in which business combinations or acquisitions are regulated, may government agencies influence or restrict the completion of such transactions, including for reasons of national security?

The Minister of Trade and Investment is empowered under section 100 of the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act 2018 to make representations under any public interest grounds with respect to any merger that is under consideration by the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission. The representations of the Minister will be considered by the Commission when determining whether merger approval should be granted.

### Conditional offers

#### 14 | What conditions to a tender offer, exchange offer, merger, plan or scheme of arrangement or other form of business combination are allowed? In a cash transaction, may the financing be conditional? Can the commencement of a tender offer or exchange offer for a public company be subject to conditions?

The conditions to a business combination may be mandatory or otherwise determined by the parties to the combination. In terms of approvals from the competition regulator, sector regulator and other regulators, these conditions are mandatory and the combination cannot be completed without these approvals. Corporate approvals of the combining parties are also mandatory.

For takeover offers, conditions may be associated with the acceptance of the offer. For instance, an offer may be subject to conditions such as the bidder being able to purchase a specified minimum number of shares within a specified time frame to ensure that it acquires control of the company. These conditions and their particulars must be stated in the bid document. However, the rules of the Nigerian Stock Exchange restrict offerors from making an offer conditional upon the payment of compensation for loss of the offer.

### Financing

#### 15 | If a buyer needs to obtain financing for a transaction involving a public company, how is this dealt with in the transaction documents? What are the typical obligations of the seller to assist in the buyer's financing?

In a cash takeover offer, an offeror is required to state in the bid document that steps have been taken to ensure that the offer will be implemented if all the offerees accept the offer. Also, the Investment and Securities Act 2007 requires the offeror to make adequate arrangements to ensure that funds are available to pay the cash consideration to the shareholders. The rules do not specify any minimum standards that these arrangements must meet.

In transactions other than takeover offers, the buyer will be required by the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission or the Securities and Exchange Commission, or both, to provide adequate proof of financial capability to acquire the shares or the assets.

### Minority squeeze-out

#### 16 | May minority stockholders of a public company be squeezed out? If so, what steps must be taken and what is the time frame for the process?

The key Nigerian squeeze-out law provisions are section 129 of the Investments and Securities Act and section 539 of the Companies and Allied Matters Act. Section 129 sets out a procedure for majority shareholders to compulsorily acquire the shares of minority shareholders: a majority of the shareholders holding at least 90 per cent of the value of the shares must approve it, and a fair price that equals the amount or price offered to the majority shareholders for their shares must be paid to the minority shareholders.

Dissenting shareholders may approach the courts for relief, and the courts may make an order against the squeeze-out attempt where it is satisfied that the procedure laid down was not followed or the price being offered is less than what was paid on the most recent acquisition of shares by the 90 per cent holders. Section 129 of the Investments and Securities Act sets out the procedure for the exercise of the option and preconditions for its exercise. This section is restricted to a scheme or contract and excludes takeover bids involving the transfer of shares or any class of shares in a company.



Section 539 of the Companies and Allied Matters Act may also be used by majority shareholders to squeeze minority shareholders out. The provision empowers a majority representing not less than 75 per cent to pass a resolution agreeing to any 'arrangement'. The arrangement must be sanctioned by the court. If the scheme is approved then minority shareholders may be squeezed out through it.

A minority squeeze-out may also occur as part of a takeover bid under section 146 of the Investments and Securities Act. Where the offer is accepted by at least 90 per cent of the shareholders to whom the offer was made, the offeror may undertake a squeeze-out of the dissenting shareholders. Upon receipt of 90 per cent acceptance, the offeror may notify the dissenting shareholders to either transfer their shares to the offeror on the terms in the takeover bid or demand payment of the fair value of shares held by the shareholders. The intention to invoke the squeeze-out provisions must have been stated in the bid document.

### Waiting or notification periods

**17** | Other than as set forth in the competition laws, what are the relevant waiting or notification periods for completing business combinations or acquisitions involving public companies?

Waiting and notification periods are mostly relevant to takeover offers. The relevant periods are:

- the seven-day period within which the directors are required to send their circular on the bid;
- where the offer is for all the shares in a class:
  - the 10-day period after the date of the bid within which the offeror must not take up the shares deposited pursuant to a bid; and
  - the 60-day period within which the shares deposited pursuant to a bid may be withdrawn by the shareholder where the offeror does not take up the shares;
- where the offer is for less than all the shares in a class:
  - the 35-day period within which shares may be deposited by shareholders; and
  - the 20-day period after the date of the bid within which the offeror must not take up the shares deposited pursuant to a bid;
- where the offer is for less than all the shares or all the shares in a class:
  - the 14-day period within which deposited shares must be taken up by the offeror; and
  - the 10-day period within which the shares deposited pursuant to a bid may be withdrawn by the shareholder where the offeror does not take up the shares; and
- the one-month period after which the offeror may commence a squeeze-out process.

## OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

### Tax issues

**18** | What are the basic tax issues involved in business combinations or acquisitions involving public companies?

The tax issues in a business combination are largely dependent on the structure adopted by the parties. Share acquisitions are more tax-efficient than asset acquisitions. The taxes that may be chargeable in business combinations are stamp duty, capital gains tax and value added tax.

Share sales or exchanges do not attract capital gains tax, value added tax or ad valorem stamp duty. Where the parties to the business combination effect the share sale/transfer under the terms of a share purchase agreement rather than a share transfer instrument, the Federal Inland Revenue Service, in practice, imposes ad valorem stamp

duty on the purchase price stated in the share purchase agreement. The justification for this practice is unfounded.

Asset sales, on the other hand, have different tax implications. Section 32 of the Capital Gains Tax Act exempts the transfer of assets from capital gains tax where the transferor and transferee are affiliates and are controlled by the same entity or one controls the other for at least a period of 365 days before the transaction. This exemption is also applicable to asset transfers that are effected through a scheme. Asset sales or transfers between unrelated companies, on the other hand, will attract capital gains tax at the rate of 10 per cent of the gain. Ad valorem stamp duty is not chargeable on asset sales or transfers between associated companies (companies in which one owns at least 90 per cent of the issued share capital of the other or are commonly controlled by another entity that owns at least 90 per cent of their issued share capital). Other than between associated companies, stamp duty is chargeable on an asset sale or transfer.

The clearance of the Federal Inland Revenue Service with regard to income tax exposure on the commencement and cessation of business in certain entities is also required for business combinations.

### Labour and employee benefits

**19** | What is the basic regulatory framework governing labour and employee benefits in a business combination or acquisition involving a public company?

The main laws governing labour and employee benefits in Nigeria are the Labour Act, the Pension Reform Act and the Employee's Compensation Act. These statutes do not have provisions governing employee benefits in a business combination. However, one of the requirements for filings with the merger control regulator is the plan for the treatment of employees after the combination is implemented.

### Restructuring, bankruptcy or receivership

**20** | What are the special considerations for business combinations or acquisitions involving a target company that is in bankruptcy or receivership or engaged in a similar restructuring?

Only a receiver or liquidator who has been appointed as a manager for a company in liquidation or receivership respectively can make a business combination decision. In a winding-up by the court, the property of the company in liquidation may not be disposed of except with the order of the court. Consequently, a liquidator who is appointed by the court pursuant to a winding-up petition has the power to: carry on the business of the company to the extent necessary for its beneficial winding-up (either with the sanction of the court or committee of inspection); or sell the property of the company of whatever nature by public auction or private contract, with the power to transfer all the property to any person or company or to sell it in parcels.

Where a liquidator ventures into a business combination for the benefit of the company, it must be in accordance with the guidelines and procedure stipulated under the applicable laws. Any board resolution required by the directors must be obtained. Section 464(2) of the Companies and Allied Matters Act 1990 provides that if a liquidator is appointed under a members' voluntary winding-up, all the powers of the directors shall cease, unless the company, by way of a general meeting, or the liquidator sanctions the continuance of those powers.

## Anti-corruption and sanctions

- 21 | What are the anti-corruption, anti-bribery and economic sanctions considerations in connection with business combinations with, or acquisitions of, a public company?

There are general laws that govern anti-corruption and anti-bribery acts in Nigeria regardless of whether a business combination is involved. These laws generally provide for sanctions where government agents are induced, in cash or in kind, into acting in a specific way. This is important in a business combination as it deals with seeking general regulatory or sectoral approvals. The relevant laws include the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (Establishment) Act 2004, the Money Laundering (Prohibition) Act 2011 (as amended), and the Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Act 2003.

### UPDATE AND TRENDS

#### Key developments

- 22 | What are the current trends in public mergers and acquisitions in your jurisdiction? What can we expect in the near future? Are there current proposals to change the regulatory or statutory framework governing M&A or the financial sector in a way that could affect business combinations with, or acquisitions of, a public company?

The market situation is unclear. The covid-19 pandemic has led to extensive lockdowns, job losses and a crash in the international price of oil, which is Nigeria's primary export. Although these developments are likely to make many public companies cheaper to buy, the uncertainty and pessimism of the general business outlook is likely to mean that credible and enthusiastic buyers of these targets will be scarce.

No major changes to merger control or tax law are expected for the foreseeable future, although refinements to the former are planned. The Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC) was established in 2019 after the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act was signed by the President. The FCCPC is now the principal merger control authority in Nigeria. For now, the Securities and Exchange Commission (which had merger control power exclusively prior to 2019) is still assisting the FCCPC with its merger control work, but that is a transitional arrangement that will end soon.

The FCCPC's statutory powers are far-reaching and even extend to business combinations that occur in foreign jurisdictions but have the effect of changing control in a Nigerian entity. In furtherance of this power, it issued the Guidelines on Simplified Process for Foreign-to-Foreign Mergers with Nigerian Component. It remains for the FCCPC to pass a fuller set of regulations and for those regulations to be tested in practice between contracting parties and before the FCCPC, and in court litigation.

**G. ELIAS & CO.** | 

#### Gbolahan Elias SAN

gbolahan.elias@gelias.com

#### Yemisi Falade

yemisi.falade@gelias.com

#### Oluwatosin Omobitan

oluwatosin.omobitan@gelias.com

6 Broad Street  
Lagos Island  
Lagos  
Nigeria  
Tel: +234 1 460 7890  
www.gelias.com

## Other titles available in this series

Acquisition Finance	Distribution & Agency	Investment Treaty Arbitration	Public M&A
Advertising & Marketing	Domains & Domain Names	Islamic Finance & Markets	Public Procurement
Agribusiness	Dominance	Joint Ventures	Public-Private Partnerships
Air Transport	Drone Regulation	Labour & Employment	Rail Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation	e-Commerce	Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy	Real Estate
Anti-Money Laundering	Electricity Regulation	Licensing	Real Estate M&A
Appeals	Energy Disputes	Life Sciences	Renewable Energy
Arbitration	Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Litigation Funding	Restructuring & Insolvency
Art Law	Environment & Climate Regulation	Loans & Secured Financing	Right of Publicity
Asset Recovery	Equity Derivatives	Luxury & Fashion	Risk & Compliance Management
Automotive	Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits	M&A Litigation	Securities Finance
Aviation Finance & Leasing	Financial Services Compliance	Mediation	Securities Litigation
Aviation Liability	Financial Services Litigation	Merger Control	Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Banking Regulation	Fintech	Mining	Ship Finance
Business & Human Rights	Foreign Investment Review	Oil Regulation	Shipbuilding
Cartel Regulation	Franchise	Partnerships	Shipping
Class Actions	Fund Management	Patents	Sovereign Immunity
Cloud Computing	Gaming	Pensions & Retirement Plans	Sports Law
Commercial Contracts	Gas Regulation	Pharma & Medical Device Regulation	State Aid
Competition Compliance	Government Investigations	Pharmaceutical Antitrust	Structured Finance & Securitisation
Complex Commercial Litigation	Government Relations	Ports & Terminals	Tax Controversy
Construction	Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation	Private Antitrust Litigation	Tax on Inbound Investment
Copyright	Healthcare M&A	Private Banking & Wealth Management	Technology M&A
Corporate Governance	High-Yield Debt	Private Client	Telecoms & Media
Corporate Immigration	Initial Public Offerings	Private Equity	Trade & Customs
Corporate Reorganisations	Insurance & Reinsurance	Private M&A	Trademarks
Cybersecurity	Insurance Litigation	Product Liability	Transfer Pricing
Data Protection & Privacy	Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Product Recall	Vertical Agreements
Debt Capital Markets		Project Finance	
Defence & Security			
Procurement			
Dispute Resolution			

Also available digitally

[lexology.com/gtdt](https://www.lexology.com/gtdt)