

THE INTERNATIONAL  
CAPITAL MARKETS  
REVIEW

NINTH EDITION

Editor  
Jeffrey Golden

THE LAWREVIEWS

THE INTERNATIONAL  
CAPITAL MARKETS  
REVIEW

NINTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd  
This article was first published in December 2019  
For further information please contact [Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk](mailto:Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk)

**Editor**  
Jeffrey Golden

THE LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER

Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE

Rebecca Mogridge

RESEARCH LEAD

Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR

Tommy Lawson

HEAD OF PRODUCTION

Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Anne Borthwick

SUBEDITOR

Martin Roach

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom  
by Law Business Research Ltd, London  
Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK  
© 2019 Law Business Research Ltd  
[www.TheLawReviews.co.uk](http://www.TheLawReviews.co.uk)

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at November 2019, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed  
to the Publisher – [tom.barnes@lbresearch.com](mailto:tom.barnes@lbresearch.com)

ISBN 978-1-83862-072-1

Printed in Great Britain by  
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

AFRIDI & ANGELL

ALLEN & OVERY LLP

ASHURST LLP

DE PARDIEU BROCAS MAFFEI

DLA PIPER MARTÍNEZ BELTRÁN

G ELIAS & CO

GORRISSEN FEDERSPIEL

INTERNATIONAL COUNSEL BUREAU

KING & WOOD MALLESONS

MONASTYRSKY, ZYUBA, STEPANOV & PARTNERS

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP/ITO & MITOMI

NADER, HAYAUX & GOEBEL

NIEDERER KRAFT FREY AG

PAKSOY

PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

RUSSELL MCVEAGH

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

SIMMONS & SIMMONS LLP

3 HARE COURT CHAMBERS

TIAN YUAN LAW FIRM

URÍA MENÉNDEZ ABOGADOS, SLP

VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA

WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT & PARTNERS LTD

# CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	v
<i>Jeffrey Golden</i>	
Chapter 1 AUSTRALIA.....	1
<i>Ian Paterson</i>	
Chapter 2 BRAZIL.....	27
<i>Ricardo Simões Russo, Marcello Mammocci Pompilio and Felipe Moraes Assunção</i>	
Chapter 3 CHINA.....	34
<i>Lei (Raymond) Shi</i>	
Chapter 4 COLOMBIA.....	47
<i>Camilo Martínez Beltrán and Sebastian Celis Rodríguez</i>	
Chapter 5 DENMARK.....	59
<i>Rikke Schiott Petersen, Morten Nybom Bethe and Knuth Larsen</i>	
Chapter 6 FRANCE.....	69
<i>Olivier Hubert and Arnaud Pince</i>	
Chapter 7 GERMANY.....	89
<i>Stefan Henkelmann and Lennart Dahmen</i>	
Chapter 8 JAPAN.....	97
<i>Akibiro Wani and Reiko Omachi</i>	
Chapter 9 KUWAIT.....	114
<i>Abdullah Alharoun</i>	
Chapter 10 LUXEMBOURG.....	126
<i>Frank Mausen, Henri Wagner and Paul Péporté</i>	

## Contents

---

Chapter 11	MEXICO .....	153
	<i>Julián Garza, Gunter A Schwandt and Jenny Ferrón C</i>	
Chapter 12	NETHERLANDS.....	162
	<i>Marieke Driessen and Niek Groenendijk</i>	
Chapter 13	NEW ZEALAND.....	181
	<i>Deemle Budhia and Ling Yan Pang</i>	
Chapter 14	NIGERIA.....	191
	<i>Fred Onuobia and Ayodele Ashiata Kadiri</i>	
Chapter 15	PORTUGAL.....	201
	<i>José Pedro Fazenda Martins, Orlando Vogler Guiné and Soraia Ussene</i>	
Chapter 16	RUSSIA .....	213
	<i>Vladimir Khrenov</i>	
Chapter 17	SPAIN.....	229
	<i>David García-Ochoa Mayor and Carlos Montoro Esteve</i>	
Chapter 18	SWITZERLAND .....	240
	<i>François M Bianchi, Daniel Bono, Andrea Giger and Till Spillmann</i>	
Chapter 19	THAILAND .....	248
	<i>Patcharaporn Pootranon, Veerakorn Samranweth and Natcharee Apichotsuraratsamee</i>	
Chapter 20	TURKEY.....	262
	<i>Ömer Çollak, Ökkeş Şaban and Nazlı Tönük Çapan</i>	
Chapter 21	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES .....	277
	<i>Gregory J Mayew and Silvia A Pretorius</i>	
Chapter 22	UNITED KINGDOM .....	292
	<i>Anna Delgado, Tim Morris, Thomas Picton, Paul Miller and Jonathan Walsh</i>	
Chapter 23	UNITED STATES .....	303
	<i>Mark Walsh and Michael Hyatte</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	323
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS.....	341

# PREFACE

This book serves two purposes – one obvious, but the other possibly less so.

Quite obviously, and one reason for its continuing popularity, *The International Capital Markets Review* addresses the comparative law aspect of our readers' international capital markets (ICM) workload and equips them with a reference source. Globalisation and technological change mean that the transactional practice of a capital markets lawyer, wherever based, no longer enjoys the luxury – if ever it did – of focusing solely at home within the confines of a single jurisdiction. Globalisation means that fewer and fewer opportunities or challenges are truly local, and technology more and more permits a practitioner to tackle international issues.

Moreover, clients certainly may have multi-jurisdictional ambitions or, even if unintended, their activities often may risk multi-jurisdictional impact. In such cases, it would be a brave but possibly foolish counsel who assumed: 'The only law, regulation and jurisdiction that matter are my own!'

Ironically, the second purpose this book aims to serve is to equip its readers to do a better job as practitioners at home. In other words, reading the summaries of foreign lawyers, who can describe relevant foreign laws and practices, is perfectly consistent with and helpful when interpreting and giving advice about one's own law and practice.

As well as giving guidance for navigating a particular local but, from the standpoint of the reader, foreign scene, the comparative perspectives presented by our authors present an agenda for thought, analysis and response about home jurisdiction laws and regulatory frameworks, thereby also giving lawyers, in-house compliance officers, regulators, law students and law teachers an opportunity to create a checklist of relevant considerations both in light of what is or may currently be required in their own jurisdiction but also as to where things there could, or should, best be headed (based on best practices of another jurisdiction) for the future.

Thus, an unfamiliar and still-changing legal jurisdiction abroad may raise awareness and stimulate discussion, which in turn may assist practitioners to revise concepts, practices and advice in both our domestic and international work. Why is this so important? The simple answer is that it cannot be avoided in today's ICM practice. Just as importantly, an ICM practitioner's clients would not wish us to have a more blinkered perspective.

Not long ago, I had the honour of sharing the platform with a United Kingdom Supreme Court Justice, a distinguished Queen's Counsel and three American academics. Our topic was 'Comparative Law as an Appropriate Topic for Courts'. The others concentrated their remarks, as might have been expected, on the context of matters of constitutional law, and that gave rise to a spirited debate. I attempted to take some of the more theoretical

aspects of our discussion and ground them in the specific example of capital markets, and particularly the over-the-counter derivatives market.

Activity in that market, I said, could be characterised as truly global. More to the point, I posited, that, whereas you might get varied answers if you asked a country's citizens whether they considered it appropriate for a court to take account of the experiences of other jurisdictions when considering issues of constitutional law, in my view derivatives market participants would uniformly wish courts to at least be aware of and consider relevant financial market practice beyond their jurisdictional borders and comparative jurisprudence (especially from English and New York courts, which are most often called upon to adjudicate disputes about derivatives), even when traditional approaches to contract construction as between courts in different jurisdictions may have differed.

In such cases, with so much at stake given the volumes of financial market trading on standard terms, and given the complexity and technicality of many of the products and the way in which they are traded and valued, there appears to me to be a growing interest in comparative law analysis and an almost insatiable appetite among judges to know at least how experienced courts have answered similar questions.

There is no reason to think that ICM practitioners are any differently situated in this regard, or less in need of or less benefited by a comparative view when facing up to the often technical and complex problems confronting them, than are judges. After all, it is only human nature to wish not to be embarrassed or disadvantaged by what you do not know.

Of course, it must be recognised that there is no substitute for actual and direct exchanges of information between lawyers from different jurisdictions. Ours should be an interdependent professional world. A world of shared issues and challenges, such as those posed by market regulation. A world of instant communication. A world of legal practices less constrained by jurisdictional borders. In that sense and to that end, the directory of experts and their law firms in the appendices to this book may help to identify local counterparts in potentially relevant jurisdictions. And, in that case, I hope that reading the content of this book may facilitate discussions with a relevant author.

In conclusion, let me add that our authors are indeed the heroes of the stories told in the pages that follow. My admiration for our contributing experts, as I wrote in the preface to the last edition, continues. It remains, too, a distinct privilege to serve as their editor, and once again I shall be glad if their collective effort proves helpful to our readers when facing the challenges of their ICM practices amid the growing interdependence of our professional world.

**Jeffrey Golden**

Joint Head of Chambers

3 Hare Court

London

October 2019



# NIGERIA

*Fred Onuobia and Ayodele Ashiata Kadiri*<sup>1</sup>

## I INTRODUCTION

### i The Investments and Securities Act, 2007

The Nigerian capital market is regulated by a panoply of laws, chief among them being the Investments and Securities Act, 2007 (ISA). Divided into 18 parts, the ISA makes provision for the establishment of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC is the main regulatory organ of the Nigerian capital market and has the power, inter alia, to:

- a* make rules and regulations for the market;<sup>2</sup>
- b* register and regulate securities exchanges and other self-regulatory organisations;
- c* register and regulate the issuance of securities;<sup>3</sup>
- d* intervene in the management and control of failing capital market operators;<sup>4</sup> and
- e* in appropriate circumstances, impose penalties and levies on defaulting capital market operators.

Consequently, the Securities and Exchange Commission Rules and Regulation, 2013 (SEC Rules), drawn up by the SEC pursuant to its powers, is considered the market's bible. The SEC periodically releases new rules to complement the SEC Rules.

Two other key bodies established by the ISA are the Administrative Proceedings Committee (APC) and the Investments and Securities Tribunal (IST). The APC is a committee of the SEC established as a quasi-judicial fact-finding body. Essentially, it provides the avenue for market operators against whom complaints have been made (by investors and

---

1 Fred Onuobia is the managing partner and Ayodele Ashiata Kadiri is an associate at G Elias & Co.

2 The Securities and Exchange Commission has issued the SEC Rules, 2013 (as amended), available at [sec.gov.ng/regulation/rules-codes](http://sec.gov.ng/regulation/rules-codes).

3 The Investments and Securities Act, 2007, Section 315, defines securities as: debentures, stocks or bonds issued or proposed to be issued by a government; debentures, stocks, shares, bonds or notes issued or proposed to be issued by a body corporate; any right or option in respect of any such debentures, stocks, shares, bonds, notes; or commodities futures, contracts, options and other derivatives, and the term securities in this Act includes those securities in the category of the securities listed above, which may be transferred by means of any electronic mode approved by the SEC and which may be deposited, kept or stored with any licensed depository or custodian company as provided under this Act.

4 ISA, Section 315 defines capital market operators as 'any persons (individual or corporate) duly registered by the Commission to perform specific functions in the capital market', which covers brokers, underwriters, solicitors and their respective firms.

the SEC alike) to be heard prior to the determination of the complaint by the SEC.<sup>5</sup> It goes without saying that a decision of the APC will be regarded as a decision of the SEC, and an appeal can therefore be made to the IST.

The IST is established under the ISA to adjudicate on any question of law or dispute involving:

- a* a decision or determination of the SEC in the operation and application of the ISA, and, in particular, relating to any dispute between:
  - capital market operators;
  - capital market operators and their clients;
  - an investor and a securities exchange or capital trade point or clearing and settlement agency; or
  - capital market operators and self-regulatory organisations;
- b* the SEC and a self-regulatory organisation;
- c* a capital market operator and the SEC;
- d* an investor and the SEC;
- e* an issuer of securities and the SEC; and
- f* disputes arising from the administration, management and operation of collective investment schemes.<sup>6</sup>

Decisions of the IST are to be enforced in the same manner as a decision of the Federal High Court (FHC). Appeals arising from decisions of the IST lie at the first instance to the Court of Appeal.

## **ii The Companies and Allied Matters Act**

The Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA)<sup>7</sup> is secondary in its applicability to the capital market. It governs most aspects of the incorporation and operations of companies and other corporate bodies requiring incorporation or registration with the Corporate Affairs Commission (CAC).<sup>8</sup> To the extent that these companies and corporate bodies are participants in Nigeria's capital market, CAMA provisions are significant and apply also to the capital market. For instance, Parts VI and VII of the CAMA make provisions on the nature and types of shares and bonds to be issued by companies. These securities end up being offered and traded in the Nigerian capital market.

## **iii Other relevant statutes**

Undoubtedly, other sector-specific legislation has a certain degree of relevance to the capital market. Arguably, the most important is that relating to banks<sup>9</sup> (Banks and Other Financial

---

5 Under an SEC circular dated 16 February 2015 on complaints management, most complaints are now to be initially lodged and resolved at trade group level or by self-regulatory organisations, such as the Nigerian Stock Exchange. Complaints not resolved at this level are to be referred to the SEC. Consequently, market operators must register as members of their respective SEC-recognised trade groups. The objective of this arrangement is to secure speedy resolution of complaints.

6 See ISA, Section 274.

7 The Companies and Allied Matters Act, Cap C20, LFN 2004.

8 The Corporate Affairs Commission is established by the CAMA, Section 1. It is Nigeria's equivalent of the UK Companies House.

9 Banks are significant issuers of securities traded in the Nigerian capital market.

Institutions Act, 1991) (as Amended), pension fund administrators<sup>10</sup> (Pension Reform Act, 2014) and the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN)<sup>11</sup> (Central Bank of Nigeria (Establishment) Act, 2007).

#### **iv Regulation of foreign investment**

There is no difference in the regulatory treatment of foreign investment in the capital market as compared with the regulation of local investment in the market. Dealings in foreign exchange are regulated by both statute and the CBN through regulations, circulars and directives. A key piece of legislation is the Foreign Exchange (Monitoring and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act<sup>12</sup> (FEMM Act).<sup>13</sup> Foreign exchange (FX) transactions are also regulated by the Foreign Exchange Manual (FX Manual), which was recently revised so that it conforms with the foreign exchange practices implemented by the CBN in the past two years.

There are no regulatory restrictions on foreign investment in the capital market. Pursuant to Section 26 of the FEMM Act:

*A person, whether (a) resident in or outside Nigeria, or (b) a citizen of Nigeria or not, may deal in, invest in, acquire or dispose of, create or transfer any interest in securities and other money market instruments whether denominated in foreign currencies in Nigeria or not. A person may invest in securities traded on the Nigerian capital market or by private placement in Nigeria.*

Nevertheless, a foreign investor seeking to invest in the market must ensure that any foreign currency to be invested in the market is imported into Nigeria through an authorised dealer.<sup>14</sup> The FX Manual now permits authorised dealers to issue an electronic certificate of capital importation (eCCI) to the investor. The CBN initiated the development of the eCCI platform in 2016 to address the problems posed by the issuance of paper certificates of capital importation such as the transfer of paper certificates from one foreign investor to another or replacements of lost CCIs. The eCCI platform for the deployment of eCCIs was finalised in 2017. With the revisions to the FX Manual in 2018, eCCIs will now be issued to foreign investors purchasing Nigerian treasury bills and Nigerian government bonds,<sup>15</sup> and the CBN has introduced a master eCCI for global depositary receipts. Authorised dealers are expected to issue master eCCIs in the amount of the foreign exchange inflow to depositary banks. The eCCI guarantees 'unconditional transferability of funds, through an authorised dealer in

---

10 Pension fund administrators are influential investors in the market. Regulations made pursuant to the Pension Reform Act on assets that qualify for investments by pension fund administrators invariably dictate products that make their way to the capital market.

11 The CBN regulates banks and dealings in foreign exchange in the Nigerian economy.

12 The Foreign Exchange (Monitoring and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act, Cap F34, LFN 2004.

13 The Foreign Exchange Manual issued by the CBN is in furtherance of the regulatory duty imposed by the FEMM Act. The CBN also regularly issues circulars on the regulation of the use of foreign exchange in the economy. For example, the CBN, by a Circular on the 'Inclusion of some Imported Goods and Services on the List of Items not valid for Foreign Exchange in the Nigerian Foreign Exchange Markets' dated 23 June 2015, barred access to the foreign exchange market for the purchase of foreign exchange for investment in Eurobonds, foreign currency bonds and shares.

14 An authorised dealer is a bank licensed under the Banks and Other Financial Institutions Act, 1991 (as Amended) and such other specialised bank issued with a licence to deal in foreign exchange. The Foreign Exchange (Monitoring and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act, Section 41.

15 Memorandums 19 and 20 of the FX Manual.

freely convertible currency, relating to dividends or profits (net of taxes) attributable to the investment'.<sup>16</sup> Similarly, foreign exchange purchased from the Nigerian Autonomous Foreign Exchange Market<sup>17</sup> can be freely repatriated from Nigeria without any further approval. The freedom to repatriate must be exercised in accordance with the provisions of the FEMM Act and the FX Manual to avoid running foul of the forex repatriation regime.<sup>18</sup>

The SEC Rules also require portfolio investors to appoint a custodian and to file a copy of the letter of appointment of the custodian with the SEC within 10 working days of making the appointment.<sup>19</sup>

Nigerian law requires a foreign company seeking to operate in the Nigerian capital market to first incorporate and register a Nigerian company with the CAC.<sup>20</sup> Subsequently, the company must register with and obtain the relevant licences or authorisations from the SEC before it can commence operations as a capital market operator in the market.<sup>21</sup>

Similarly, a foreign company can only apply and be registered as a dealing member of the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) upon registering and incorporating a separate Nigerian entity with the CAC.

#### v Cross-border securities transactions

Much like foreign investments, foreign issuers can issue, sell or offer for sale or subscription securities to the public through the Nigerian capital market. Securities may be denominated in naira or any convertible foreign currency.<sup>22</sup> The SEC Rules require foreign issuers to file an application for the registration of their securities with the SEC, and the application must be accompanied by a draft prospectus.<sup>23</sup> Importantly, under new NSE Rules, foreign issuers may apply for the listing of their *sukuk* and debt securities on the NSE.

16 FEMM Act, Section 15(4).

17 Defined in the FEMM Act as 'a market which the authorised dealers, authorised buyers, foreign exchange end-users and the Central Bank are participants and may include other participants that the Government of the Federation may, from time to time, recognise'.

18 The Nigerian Subsidiary of the South African telecommunications company, MTN, was directed by the CBN to refund the sum of US\$8.13 billion, which the CBN said was illegally repatriated from Nigeria. Fines were also imposed on several authorised dealers for forex repatriation infractions the CBN found them to have committed. See <https://guardian.ng/news/nigeria-orders-south-african-mtn-to-refund-8-13-bln/> (accessed on 29 August 2019). Issues around the fines have now been resolved amongst the CBN, MTN and the authorised dealers.

19 SEC Rules, Rule 411(e).

20 CAMA, Section 54 makes it a general requirement for all foreign companies intent on carrying on business in any sector of the Nigerian economy to obtain incorporation as a separate Nigerian entity before commencing business. The CAC certificate of incorporation issued to a foreign company pursuant to this provision is one of the documents required for registration with the SEC as a capital market operator.

21 SEC Rules, Rule 407. Other registration requirements include a certified true copy of the certificate of incorporation in the company's country of domicile; proof of registration with the securities regulator or any other regulatory authority in the foreign entity's country of domicile; and the shareholding structure of the foreign company.

22 SEC Rules, Rule 414.

23 Extensive provisions are made for the content of the draft prospectus in Rule 419 of the SEC Rules. The registration obligations placed on foreign issuers are the same as those placed on Nigerian public companies, trust companies, collective investment schemes, governments and government agencies, and supranational bodies.

Foreign issuers may, at the discretion of the SEC, be exempted from certain securities registration obligations under the SEC Rules if it is ‘in the public interest and where reciprocal agreement exists between Nigeria and the country of the issuer, or the issuer’s country is a member of the International Organization of Securities Commissions’.<sup>24</sup>

#### vi The court system

Nigeria operates a common law system, but with a federal written Constitution<sup>25</sup> as the basic law. The Supreme Court of Nigeria sits atop the hierarchy of courts, with the Court of Appeal on the next rung. The high courts and National Industrial Court are on the next rung; these courts are referred to as superior courts of record. Of importance to the Nigerian capital market is the FHC, which has 36 divisions across the country.

The FHC has exclusive jurisdiction over matters:

- a ‘arising from the operation of the Companies and Allied Matters Act or any other enactment replacing that Act or regulating the operation of companies incorporated under the Companies and Allied Matters Act’;
- b ‘the administration or the management and control of the federal government or any of its agencies’; and
- c ‘any action or proceeding for a declaration or injunction affecting the validity of any executive or administrative action or decision by the federal government or any of its agencies’.<sup>26</sup>

Most operators in the capital market are limited liability companies incorporated under and regulated by the CAMA. It is arguable that the FHC has jurisdiction over matters that touch on the operation of these ‘CAMA companies’, even if such matters occur in the capital market. Points (b) and (c) above are also relevant to capital market disputes because the SEC, which is the main regulatory body of the capital market, is an agency of the federal government.<sup>27</sup>

---

24 SEC Rules, Rule 416.

25 The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 (as amended).

26 Section 251 of the Constitution.

27 There is often an overlap of jurisdiction between the FHC and the IST in capital market disputes, and there have been no definitive pronouncements or general guiding principles laid down by the Supreme Court on this issue. Cases have therefore been determined individually, creating inconsistency, uncertainty and the opportunity to forum shop.

For example, in *Ajayi v. SEC* [2009] 13 NWLR Pt 1157, the FHC decision declining jurisdiction was upheld by the Court of Appeal. The case was for judicial review of an SEC decision through its Administrative Proceedings Committee. The FHC in declining jurisdiction stated that the ISA ‘rested the adjudication arising from the operation of the ISA within the purview of the IST’. That jurisdiction of the IST is not of a concurrent application with the FHC (per Peter-Odili, JCA at p. 26). However, another panel of the Court of Appeal in *Christopher Okeke v. SEC* (2013) LPELR-20355 (CA) refused to follow *Ajayi v. SEC*, and decided that the FHC had jurisdiction instead. The Court in its ruling stated that the jurisdiction of the FHC granted by the Constitution ‘cannot be whittled down or taken away by an ordinary Act of the National Assembly in the absence of any amendment to the provision [of the Constitution] in question’ (per Saulawa, JCA at p. 28).

### vii The FMDQ Securities Exchange Plc

The FMDQ Securities Exchange Plc (FMDQ Exchange) is the largest securities exchange<sup>28</sup> in Nigeria by trading volume and focuses on debt and derivative products. The FMDQ Exchange's listing requirements are similar to those of the NSE, but it is dissimilar in its admission of commercial papers for listing. Further, unlike the NSE, the FMDQ Exchange currently operates only one quotation list. Like the NSE and in furtherance of Part XIV of the ISA, the FMDQ Exchange established its investor protection fund in 2017.

The FMDQ Exchange also has a thriving derivatives platform and plays a vibrant role in the trading of over-the-counter (OTC) FX futures.<sup>29</sup> In 2016, the FMDQ, in collaboration with the CBN, launched the naira-settled OTC FX futures. The FMDQ, following a CBN circular dated 21 April 2017, also developed the Nigerian Autonomous Foreign Exchange Rate Fixing (NAFEX), which is a fixing methodology for the settlement of certain FX derivatives in the Nigerian market.<sup>30</sup> Generally, and with the supervision of the CBN, the FMDQ is responsible for the registration and operational regulation of FX options and will spearhead the development of other risk management products and guidelines.

In the first half of 2019, the FMDQ Group (FMDQ) underwent a restructuring that birthed the first vertically integrated financial market infrastructure group in Africa made up of three entities: the FMDQ Exchange, FMDQ Depository Limited, and FMDQ Clear Limited. With the restructuring, FMDQ obtained the requisite approval of the SEC to reflect the new status upgrade of the FMDQ Securities Exchange Plc from an OTC market to a securities exchange. FMDQ Clear Limited was also registered as the first central and only clearing house in Nigeria. FMDQ Depository Limited will provide clearing, custodian and settlement services. Equity securities were not admitted for listing before the restructuring, that is, when FMDQ Securities Exchange Plc operated as a hybrid operating both as a traditional securities exchange and as an OTC platform.<sup>31</sup> However, with its new status, it appears the FMDQ Exchange will provide a platform for trading not only equities but also commodities.

### viii The NSE

A very significant player in the Nigerian capital market is the NSE.<sup>32</sup> Established in the wake of Nigeria's independence from British colonial rule, the NSE operates an automated trading

28 See <https://www.fmdqgroup.com/about/> (accessed on 29 August 2019).

29 See the Guidelines for the Operation of the Nigerian Inter-Bank Foreign Exchange Market, 2016; the OTC FX Futures Market Operational Standards, 2018 (and the appendix - OTC FX Futures Market Close-Out Methodology) and the OTC Foreign Exchange Futures Market Framework, 2019. See generally <https://www.fmdqgroup.com/markets/products/derivatives/> (accessed on 29 August 2019) for the FMDQ's publications on its derivative products (accessed on August 29, 2019)

30 By the circular, the CBN also established an investors' and exporters' window for eligible invisible transactions detailed under the miscellaneous payments of Memorandum 15 of the 2006 version of the FX Manual (Memorandum 14 of the 2017 revision). It is expected that the circular may be updated to reflect how the revisions to the FX Manual will affect derivatives in the investors' and exporters' window. The circular can be accessed at <https://www.cbn.gov.ng/Out/2017/FMD/ESTABLISHMENT%20OF%20INVESTORS'%20&%20EXPORTERS'%20FX%20WINDOW.pdf> (accessed on 3 September 2019).

31 The FMDQ Securities Exchange Plc (then FMDQ OTC Plc) initially operated as an OTC platform, then became FMDQ OTC Securities Exchange Plc in 2018 before the restructuring in the first half of 2019.

32 The NSE is currently a non-profit-making CAMA company limited by guarantee. However, there are plans for the demutualisation of the NSE. In this regard, the SEC released the Rules on Demutualisation

system and is, in conjunction with the Central Securities Clearing System Plc (CSCS), capable of offering electronic clearing, settlements, delivery and custodial services. Headquartered in Nigeria's commercial capital, Lagos, the NSE has 13 other branches in the country, where trading occurs simultaneously.

The NSE currently operates the Main Board, the Alternative Securities Market (ASeM), and the Premium Board, which was introduced in August 2015.<sup>33</sup> The ASeM Board is targeted at small and medium-sized enterprises, and requires fewer stringent listing requirements and relatively low capital-raising costs. Significantly, companies seeking to be listed on the ASeM must appoint a designated adviser whose role is to navigate the company through the listing process and requirements, especially its continuing obligations once listed on the ASeM. Conversely, the Premium Board is for gold standard companies that successfully meet the most stringent standards of the NSE. Importantly, all trading and listing on the NSE occurs through dealing members, which are stockbroking firms so licensed by the NSE. Investors are required to open securities accounts with the CSCS.

As mandated by the ISA,<sup>34</sup> the NSE has maintained an investor protection fund (IPF) since 2013. The IPF is administered by a board of trustees subject to the regulatory supervision of the SEC. The purpose of the IPF is for compensation of investors' losses arising from:

*the insolvency, bankruptcy or negligence of a dealing member firm of a securities exchange or capital point; and defalcation committed by a dealing member firm or any of its directors, officers, employees or representatives in relation to securities, money or any property entrusted to, or received or deemed received by the dealing member firm in the course of its business as a capital market operator.<sup>35</sup>*

Claims can be made against a dealing member, and the current maximum amount an investor can receive as compensation in a claim against a dealing member is 400,000 naira.<sup>36</sup> The SEC launched the National Investor Protection Fund (NIPF) in 2015. The rules of the NIPF were finalised and became operational in June 2017. The launch of all these funds (i.e., the IPF, the NIPF and the FMDQ investment protection fund) is in furtherance of the investor protection mandate of the 10-year Nigerian Capital Market Master Plan launched in 2015.

---

of Securities Exchanges in Nigeria in the first quarter of 2015. The implementation of the demutualisation of the NSE has gathered momentum this year with the enactment of the Demutualisation of the Nigerian Stock Exchange Act, 2018. See also Section II.iv.

33 The Premium Board was officially launched by the NSE on 25 August 2015 with the three pioneer companies that successfully met the stringent listing requirements: Zenith Bank Plc, FBN Holdings Plc and Dangote Cement Plc. On 16 April 2018, the NSE migrated Access Bank Plc, Lafarge Africa Plc, Seplat Petroleum Development Company Plc and United Bank for Africa Plc to the Premium Board. MTN Nigeria Communications Plc was migrated to the Premium Board on 16 May 2019, bringing the total number of companies on the Premium Board to eight. However, Lafarge Africa Plc is currently tagged as below listing standards in line with NSE's Compliance Status Indicator Codes. See <http://www.nse.com.ng/issuers/listed-securities/listed-companies?filter=premium+board> (last accessed 29 August 2019).

34 The SEC's national investor protection fund is 5 billion naira. See ISA, Section 197.

35 ISA, Section 198.

36 This amount might be inadequate to compensate a high-net-worth investor. In relative terms, however, it is a decent sum to the average small-time investor who is more susceptible to volatility in the market and misconduct on the part of capital market operators.

## **ix Other securities exchanges**

The NASD provides a formal OTC trading platform for unlisted securities of public companies. Unlike the FMDQ Exchange, equity securities can be traded on the NASD platform. Securities traded on the NASD are categorised as ‘NASD Blue’ (shares with a history of sound financial performance), ‘NASD Pink’ (shares of companies that do not comply with the minimum disclosure and reporting requirements of the SEC and NASD) or ‘NASD Red’ (shares of companies that fail to provide NASD with information).<sup>37</sup>

There are a number of proposals to establish exchanges for commodities in addition to the Nigerian Commodity Exchange (NCX)<sup>38</sup> and the AFEX Commodities Exchange. The NCX was set up as a stock exchange in 1998 and converted into a commodity exchange in 2001. Major commodities currently being traded are agricultural produce such as cocoa, sesame seeds, palm produce and cowpeas. The NCX recently announced that it would extend the use of its platform to solid mineral-related products. The NCX was the only commodity exchange in Nigeria until 2014 when the SEC registered the first private sector commodities exchange, AFEX.<sup>39</sup> The SEC has also given its final approval for the establishment of the Lagos Commodity and Futures Exchange being promoted by the Association of Securities Dealing Houses of Nigeria to operate as a commodities and futures market.<sup>40</sup>

## **II THE YEAR IN REVIEW**

### **i Derivatives**

The derivatives regulatory space has been very active in recent years. Derivatives are largely traded on a bilateral basis between international banks and major Nigerian banks. Perhaps because a majority of the players in the space are banks and the underlying instruments for most derivatives transactions that currently occur in the market are foreign exchange ones, the main regulator has been the CBN. Last year, both the SEC and the NSE proposed different rules to guide the trading of derivatives. However, the SEC approved the NSE Rulebook of the Nigerian Stock Exchange Derivative Market on 19 August 2019 (NSE Rulebook).<sup>41</sup> While the NSE Rulebook will govern trading of derivatives on the NSE, the SEC rules (when finalised) will govern both OTC-traded derivatives and exchange-traded derivatives. In 2018, the Senate of the National Assembly passed the Companies and Allied Matters Bill (Companies Bill), which seeks to amend the CAMA. The Companies Bill includes provisions on the validity of netting arrangements in certain contracts, including derivative contracts. The Companies Bill is currently awaiting being passed by the House of Representatives and gaining the assent of the President. These regulatory, albeit incomplete, interventions in the derivatives space are indicative of the growing relevance of derivatives in the Nigerian capital market.

---

37 The NASD provides the rules for admission of securities on its website at <https://nasdng.com/prices-markets/otc-securities-categorization/> (accessed on 29 August 2019).

38 See <http://nigeriacommex.com/en/> (accessed on 29 August 2019).

39 See SEC publication ‘A Report on Commodities Trading Ecosystem in Nigeria’, available at <http://sec.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Report-of-TC-on-Commodity-Ecosystem-2.pdf> (accessed on 1 October 2018).

40 See <https://www.vanguardngr.com/2019/08/report-on-commodities-trading-expected-to-spur-capital-market-economy-sec/> (accessed on 29 August 2019).

41 See <https://punchng.com/sec-approves-nse-rulebook-on-derivatives-market/> (last accessed on 29 August 2019).



## ii CBN regulation

To provide some background, prior to 2016, certain hedging products had been approved by the CBN for offer by authorised dealers to their customers. In particular, Memorandum 5 of the FX Manual permits authorised dealers to deal in FX spot, forward, futures and swap contracts. In addition to this, in 2011, the CBN released Guidelines for FX Derivatives in the Nigerian Financial Markets, which lists the approved hedging products that authorised dealers can offer to their customers as 'FX Options, Forwards (Outright and Non-Deliverable), FX Swaps and Cross-Currency Interest Rate Swaps'. The 2011 Guidelines further state that 'Authorised Dealers are to ensure that their customers are hedging trade- (visible and invisible) related foreign exchange exposures in their obligations and not speculating on the Naira'.

In 2016, as part of its response to the prevalent FX liquidity shortage in the Nigerian financial markets, the CBN issued its Revised Guidelines for the Operation of the Nigerian Inter-Bank Foreign Exchange Market (Revised Guidelines). Under the Revised Guidelines, naira-settled non-deliverable OTC FX futures (NDFs) were included in the approved hedging products that authorised dealers can offer to their customers. As with other hedging products, NDFs must be backed by trade transactions (visible and invisible) or evidenced investment.

The CBN further directed that the NDF trades were to be facilitated on the FMDQ Exchange (this was prior to the FMDQ restructuring). Generally, with the restructuring of the FMDQ, as well as the new outlook of the FMDQ Exchange, stakeholders anticipate further CBN circulars and FMDQ publications in due course to explain how the new status of the FMDQ Exchange will affect the continued implementation of these guidelines (if at all).

## iii New products

From the second half of 2017, the federal government has been pioneering the issuance of new products in the market. The first was the seven-year 100 billion naira sovereign *sukuk* bond issued in September 2017. On 18 December 2017, Nigeria issued a five-year 10.69 billion naira sovereign green bond, which is the world's first Climate Bonds Initiative certified sovereign bond. The SEC also approved and issued the rules on green bonds in the last quarter of 2018. Last year, the FMDQ, the Climate Bonds Initiative and the Financial Sector Deepening Africa began promoting a scheme, the Nigerian Green Bond Market Development Programme, targeted at driving education about and raising awareness of the implementation of green financing in Nigeria, and as a consequence, the development of the green bond market and the non-sovereign debt capital market. It was in furtherance of that scheme that Access Bank Plc (one of Nigeria's leading banks) announced the issuance of the first certified corporate green bond in Africa, raising 15 billion naira in April 2019.<sup>42</sup>

## iv Sundry matters

The demutualisation of the NSE has been accelerated following the enactment of the Demutualisation of the Nigerian Stock Exchange Act, 2018 last year. It is expected that the NSE will complete its public listing by the end of this year.<sup>43</sup> The Nigerian Insurance Commission, NAICOM, recently revised upwards the minimum capital base for insurance

---

42 The five-year, 15.50 per cent fixed rate and fully subscribed bond has been awarded an AA- rating by Augusto & Co, and certified by the Climate Bonds Initiative. According to stakeholders, the issuance of the corporate green bond reveals the prospects of the Nigerian green finance market.

43 See <https://af.reuters.com/article/nigeriaNews/idAFL5N22S6X3> (accessed on 30 August 2019).

companies.<sup>44</sup> While the new capital requirements will immediately apply to companies seeking to carry on insurance business in Nigeria, existing insurance and reinsurance companies are required to be compliant with the share capital requirements no later than 30 June 2020. There are likely to be a lot of capital-raising exercises (both debt and equity) in the insurance industry in the coming months as insurers seek to comply with the new requirements. In 2014, the federal government issued an executive order exempting income from certain securities exchange transactions from VAT (VAT Order). The VAT Order, which was officially gazetted and dated 30 July 2014, became effective from 25 July 2014 and was to last for a period of five years from that date. Consequently, the VAT Order ceased to be effective on 24 July 2019. According to reports by several news agencies, the federal government may extend the exemption. The SEC continues to dedicate its efforts to implementing the Capital Market Master Plan, and has announced its intention to review the Capital Market Master Plan in line with current Nigerian economic realities, and engage stakeholders on e-Dividend registration and multiple accounts regularisation to deal with the problems associated with unclaimed dividends in the Nigerian capital market.

### **III OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS**

The Nigerian capital market can best be described as emerging, robust and dynamic. Current global economic challenges have no doubt had an effect on the Nigerian capital market. However, tailor-made regulations and products introduced by the SEC make the market attractive to both local and foreign investors.

---

<sup>44</sup> See the Circular on Minimum Paid-Up Share Capital dated 20 May 2019 and the follow-up circulars dated 23 July 2019 (<https://www.proshareng.com/news/INSURANCE/Nigeria--New-Minimum-Paid-Up-Share-Capital-Policy-For-Insurance-And-Reinsurance-Firms/45396>), (<https://www.proshareng.com/news/INSURANCE/Clarification-on-NAICOM%E2%80%99s-May-2019-Recapitalization-Policy-%E2%80%93-Mergers-and-Acquisition-Guidelines-and-/46247>) and (<https://www.proshareng.com/news/Insurance/NAICOM-%E2%80%93-Insurers-to-Submit-Recapitali/46248>) all accessed on 5 September 2019.

# ABOUT THE AUTHORS

## **FRED ONUOBIA**

*G Elias & Co*

Fred Onuobia is the managing partner of G Elias & Co. He holds a master of law degree from University College London. His areas of practice include securities, banking and project finance law. He is recognised as a leading lawyer by *IFLR1000*, *Chambers Global* and *The Legal 500*. Fred Onuobia has advised on major equity offerings, bond offerings and securitisations, including an offering that was listed simultaneously on stock exchanges in three countries, a 3 trillion naira bond programme (the largest bond programme in Nigeria) and a residential mortgage-backed securitisation.

## **AYODELE ASHIATA KADIRI**

*G Elias & Co*

Ayodele Ashiata Kadiri is an associate at G Elias & Co. Her areas of practice include securities, technology, media and telecommunications and dispute resolution. Her experience covers securitisations, securities offerings and representing clients (both capital market operators and investors) in disputes arising out of their operations and investments in the market respectively.

## **G ELIAS & CO**

6 Broad Street

Lagos

Nigeria

Tel: +234 1 4607890/2806970

Fax: +234 1 2806972

fred.onuobia@gelias.com

ayodele.kadiri@gelias.com

www.gelias.com

an LBR business

ISBN 978-1-83862-072-1